

Transplant Pharmacist Participation in Suitability Evaluation Using Telehealth: Single Center Experience

Yiwen Chung¹, My Duong Patterson¹, Benito Valdepenas¹, Maya Campara^{1,2}

¹Pharmacy Practice, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, United States

²Surgery, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, United States



Introduction

- Multidisciplinary assessment of transplant candidates is standard practice
- Pharmacist involvement has been previously described
- Responsibilities of transplant pharmacist include identifying medication related issues, and potential barriers to medication adherence after transplantation
- This is the first study that describes transplant pharmacists' participation in candidate suitability determination via telehealth

Methods

- Single-center, retrospective, descriptive study of all adult transplant candidates that underwent kidney transplant suitability evaluation at a large, urban, transplant program from 1/2022 to 12/2022
- The primary outcome is to characterize medication adherence barriers and drug related issues identified by pharmacists
- The secondary outcome is to report the average incidence of each potential barrier documented within the suitability evaluation notes

Results

- During study period, 632 patients underwent pre transplant suitability evaluation
- We present data for 414 kidney transplant candidates for whom the average age was 55, 50% identified as Black and 63% as male
- Pharmacists interview consisted of 17 questions that covered three main domains: identifying medication related issues (52.9%), medication adherence (41.2%) and medication knowledge (5.9%) as seen in Table 1

Table 1

Interview Domain	Assessment Questions	Outcome (N= 414)
	No. of patients with identified medication-related issues, n(%)	277(61)
	No. of patients with identified medication adherence issues, n(%)	140(38)
	Time spent in minutes, mean (SD)	30(9)
Medication knowledge	Medication knowledge percent, mean (SD)*	87(19)
Medication adherence	No. of medications, mean (SD)	10(6)
Medication adherence	Medication managed by self, n(%)	373(81)
Medication adherence	No. of patients reporting someone is available to help with meds after transplant, n(%)	265(64)
Medication adherence	No. of patients reporting miss doses in the last 7 days, n(%)	50(12)
Medication adherence	No. of patients admitting to stopping meds because too expensive or lost, n(%)	44(11)
Medication adherence	No. of patients reporting not taking medications as prescribed, n(%)	41(10)
Medication adherence	No. of patients admitting forgetting to bring along the medication when travel, n(%)	34(8)

Table 1

Interview Domain	Assessment Questions	Outcome (N= 414)
Medication related issue	No. of patients refilling controlled substances, n(%)	183(45)
Medication related issue	No. of patients reporting use of herbals/supplements, n(%)	167(41)
Medication related issue	No. of patients reporting medication allergies, n(%)	155(38)
Medication related issue	No. of patients with current anticoagulation use, n(%)	90(21.7)
Medication related issue	No. of patients reporting use of cannabidiol, n(%)	62(15)
Medication related issue	No. of patients on medication with significant pharmacokinetic drug interactions with immunosuppressants, n(%)	52(12.6)
Medication related issue	No. of patients not updated on immunizations, n(%)	47(11.4)
Medication related issue	No. of patients with past or current immunosuppressant use, n(%)	32(7.7)
Medication related issue	No. of patients with current antimicrobial use, n(%)	12(2.9)

Results

- On average, patients were taking 10+/-6 medications and calculated medication knowledge percent was on average 87+/-19
- Pharmacist identified 277 (61%) patients with drug-related issues and 140 (38%) patients with potential adherence issues
- Most frequently documented medication related issue was use of controlled medications (45%), followed by herbals/supplements use (41%), medication allergies (38%) and anticoagulant use (21.7%)
- Adherence questions were designed to understand coping mechanism with medication related problems and included questions about self-adjusting medication therapy, handling medication access issues or travel related issues, and examining medication support systems at home

Conclusion

 Pharmacists' participation in suitability determination via telehealth results in identification of important issue that could become adherence barriers and complicate patient care in the perioperative and postoperative phases of care

References

- Alloway RR, Dupuis R, Gabardi S, Kaiser TE, Taber DJ, Tichy EM, Weimert-Pilch NA; American Society of Transplantation Transplant Pharmacy Community of Practice and the American College of Clinical Pharmacy Immunology/Transplantation Practice and Research Network. Evolution of the role of the transplant pharmacist on the multidisciplinary transplant team. Am J Transplant. 2011 Aug;11(8):1576-83. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2011.03601.x. Epub 2011 Jun 14. PMID: 21672154.
- Maldonado AQ, Tichy EM, Rogers CC, Campara M, Ensor C, Doligalski CT, Gabardi S, Descourouez JL, Doyle IC, Trofe-Clark J. Assessing pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic risks in candidates for kidney transplantation. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2015 May 15;72(10):781-93. doi: 10.2146/ajhp140476. PMID: 25941253.
- Lichvar AB, Chandran MM, Cohen EA, Crowther BR, Doligalski CT, Condon Martinez AJ, Potter LMM, Taber DJ, Alloway RR. The expanded role of the transplant pharmacist: A 10-year follow-up. Am J Transplant. 2023 Sep;23(9):1375-1387. doi: 10.1016/j.ajt.2023.04.032. Epub 2023 May 4. PMID: 37146942.
- Xu-Stettner J, Thompson AN, Fitzgerald LJ, Licari T, McMurry KA, Tischer S. Comparison of Transplant Pharmacist Treatment Decisions Between Telehealth and Clinic Visits. Prog Transplant. 2023 Jun;33(2):156-161. doi: 10.1177/15269248231164161. Epub 2023 Apr 12. PMID: 37051617.